## Eord Fund to Cut Grants,

ZE specially to Universities

FOIAb3b

Bring Gifts in Line CPYRGHT With Its Income

By FRED M. HECKINGER

The Ford Foundation said yesterday that it plans to cut, its spending.

Colleges and universities, which have been getting a substantial share of the founda-institutions' endowments, tion's grants will be hardest. Noting that higher educ hit by the cuts. The economics are designed to bring the foundation's spending more nearly its investments.

tion, severely criticized "the cial practices. American rich-old and new" their own money because of able." timid and inexpert investing of their endowments.



The New York Times McGeorge Bundy, the president of Ford Foundation.

Mr. Bundy gave notice of sweeping changes in goals and attitudes in foundation grants.

Asserting that the foundation had been spending an average

Foundation Is Seeking to said the trustees had decided | mediate future."

He did not say how much be reduced, but added that it

what beyond its income.

Mr. Bundy called for a nationwide review and analysis of tionwide review and analysis of Mr. Bundy's 10-page policy the effectiveness with which statement entitled "Public Isuniversity trustees invest their

Noting that higher education had only four major sources of future support—tuition, Federal funds, private gifts and imin line with the income from proved management of endowment funds-Mr. Bundy re-At the same time, McGeorge served his most direct criticism Bundy, president of the founda- for the universities own finan-

"We recognize the risk of unfor their "relatively slow and conventional investing," he said, chided the universities them selves for failing to bring in not the opinion of the respect-

He added that he suspected that "caution has cost our col-In his first annual report leges and universities much since he assumed the presidency more than imprudence or excessions he assumed the presidency sive risk-taking." Improvement of the nation's largest foundari by merely one per cent in the tion, with assets of \$2.4-billion, annual return on private higher education's total endowment of \$2-billion would add \$120-million to the institution's income, he said.

## Urges More Private Gifts

Mr. Bundy said that private, contributions to higher education—which are now threeand-a-half times what were 15 years ago-should be five times what they are now.
"Where are the modern An-

drew Carnegies—the men who will do more than all their friends expect?" he asked.

He urged an increase of Federal support, beyond the present \$4-billion a year, and charged that higher education has been "a shade slow" in creating public understanding

of this need.

Mr. Bundy charged that American businesses, professions and government give little encouragement to the initia-tive of the young, and warned against "the growning menace" of cautious men who are unwilling "to annoy" their organizations by proposing change and of organizations "too easily annoyed."

He sharply criticized the growing "public blandness" and pledged the foundation's "stand against this kind of thing.

Without directly alluding to

twice its yearly income-he foundation's alleged aversion

mute when there comes a time to speak—a time to put our mouth where our money is. We the foundation's grants would mouth where our mouth the foundation's grants would intend to be very careful and be reduced, but added that it responsible in what we say, but silence."

## Criticism Called Beneficial

sues, Philanthropic . Foundations, and Straight Talk," dealt with issues ranging from educational television to international peace. He insisted that "to be exposed to analysis and criticism" is good for all public institutions, from commercial television to the foundations themselves.

"The best-run outfits, on the whole, are the ones which are most open." he said.

But while he promised support to the unorthodox, he added that "merely to be peculiar is not sufficient ground for successful application" for funds.

The noncontroversial support of "research in rice" remains important, he said, and "it would be as foolish to abanden such solid work because it is safe as it would be timid to limit ourselves to such work exclusively."

While the foundation does not confuse itsel with the Federal Government or with the United Nations, he said, "where we see a chance to do more for peace,

But the major thrust of the policy statement dealt with the economic crisis of higher education. He expressed concern that academic presidents and deans, if hard-pressed for funds, will neglect innovation and reform.

"The great academic leaders have always hado entrepreneurial energy, and the president's soat for a man of purely philo-sorbical temper," he said.

"Yet, the president as promoter is only a part of what an institution needs." he added. He charged that men with "high intellectual command of the old and new issues of academic life" are rare, partly because "the best of them will reject the hard life of high-energy salesmanship which a college presidency bids fair to become."

## Solution Not in Giving

But he warned that "there is no solution to the problems of the private colleges and universities in simily giving away the Ford Foundation." Recalling his own days as dean at Harvard University, before he became a special adviser to

dation's capital "more than we do." But he added, higher edu-00560003 Quid easily ab-Foundation's endowment.

"I joke in order not to cry," he added.

But he nevertheless indicated that the recent \$35-million grant to Columbia University.

twice its yearly income—he controversy, Mr. Bundy said: special grants to colleges and said the trustees had decided: "Nevertheless, the first lesson universities totaling \$325-milto "stay in business" by spend- I have learned in this year is lion, may have signaled the ing "somewhat less in the im- that we have no warrant to be start of a reduction of this type of support.

He pointed to "a special obligation" to help put the urban universities "at the forefront of

locking problems of the city and the Negro."
"The past record of our uni-

versities in these fields is not outstanding," he said.

While citing California as an example of public support of higher education, Mr. Bundy, in an oblique reference to Governor Ronald Reagan's conflict with the University of California and the activities of some leftwing student groups, said "itis hardly thinkable that the excesses or vindictiveness of a few at either extreme should be allowed to any lasting damage to the magnificent partnership between the people of California and their great university."

In the review of the foundation's fiscal year, which ended last Sept. 30, the report indicated that grants totaling \$307.7-million were made, compared with an income of \$157.4million. The year's activities brought the total "invasion of capital" since the establishment of the foundation in 1936 to \$1billion. Program payments in the same period have amounted to \$2.5-billion.

It is not unsual for foundations to spend more than their income, especially in a time of rising stock market values. In such a period, even if the spending exceeds the return on the investment, the foundations' total assets, according to the market value of their investment endowment, tends to increase.

This has been the case with the Ford Foundation's endowment.

However, Mr. Bundy's call for a review of investment policies takes account of the fact that institutional investors, such as boards of trustees, are said to err frequently on the side of excessive caution, thereby reducing the potential growth, of their institution's total capital. He conceded the foundation itself might be liable to criticism on these grounds.

The categories of common stocks in which the foundation indicated the highest amounts of investments were oil and gas, utilities, chemicals and consumer goods.

of \$300-mall por oved For Release 2000/05/24: CIA-RDP75-00149R000100560003-0